

Barefoot Alpine Method (BAM™): An Integrated Model of Natural Stressor Exposure for Physiological Adaptation and Psychophysical Self-Regulation

Claudio Lombardo**Department of Sport, Exercise, Food and Nutrition Sciences,
UniCamillus University, Italy***Corresponding Author:** Claudio Lombardo, Department of Sport, Exercise, Food and Nutrition Sciences, UniCamillus University, Italy.**Received:** 2026 Aug 26**Accepted:** 2026 Sep 22**Published:** 2026 Sep 30

Abstract

Human physiological function is continuously regulated in response to environmental and physical demands. Cold exposure, exercise, and locomotion across different surfaces involve distinct thermoregulatory, metabolic, biomechanical, and sensory processes. Repeated exposure may produce stimulus-specific adaptations, although the magnitude and direction of these responses depend on exposure characteristics and individual factors.

The Barefoot Alpine Method (BAM™) is proposed as an integrated, individualized framework combining natural movement with progressive exposure to selected environmental and physical stressors. This article outlines its physiological rationale, distinguishes established findings concerning individual components from hypotheses concerning their integration, and proposes a framework for future empirical evaluation. The central hypothesis is that structured experiences involving environmental challenges may provide opportunities to practice effort regulation and body awareness; whether these experiences improve broader psychophysical self-regulation remains to be established.

Keywords: Physiological adaptation, Environmental stressors, Barefoot locomotion, Cold exposure, Fatigue, Body awareness, Self-regulation

1. Introduction

Human physiology involves continuous adjustments to changes in environmental conditions and physical demands. Cold exposure reactivates thermoregulatory responses, while locomotion requires coordinated biomechanical and sensory processes. Repeated exposure can alter some of these responses, but adaptations are specific to the stimulus and cannot be assumed to produce generalized improvements in health or performance [1,2].

The Barefoot Alpine Method (BAM™) emerged from the collaboration between Claudio Lombardo, who originally developed Barefoot Alpine Running, and Dr. Sarah Giomi. Building on that experience, they developed a broader approach integrating physiology, natural movement, and the conscious management of environmental stressors. Unlike the original alpine practice, BAM™ is intended to accommodate different levels of physical preparation and does not require barefoot participation or extreme exposure.

The theoretical premise of BAM™ is that selected interactions with natural environments may provide opportunities to practice physical and behavioral responses to changing demands. Although individual components such as cold exposure and barefoot locomotion have been investigated, their integration within BAM™ constitutes a distinct methodological proposal whose effects require direct evaluation [1,2].

2. Natural Stressors and Physiological Adaptation

Environmental and physical stressors elicit responses that depend on stimulus type, intensity, duration, and individual characteristics. Cold exposure, for example, activates heat-conservation and heat-production mechanisms. Exercise introduces additional metabolic and cardiovascular demands. The responses to these challenges should be considered individually rather than interpreted as evidence of a single, generalized adaptation mechanism [3].

Adaptation must also be distinguished from tolerance of increasingly severe conditions. Cold exposure can impair performance and health, while exhaustive exercise may interact with thermoregulatory demands. Consequently, greater exposure is not inherently beneficial, and the simultaneous application of stressors requires particular caution [3].

BAM™ therefore proposes an individualized framework based on stimulus selection, gradual progression, monitoring, and recovery. The intended objective is to develop an appropriate relationship between environmental demands and individual capacity, rather than to maximize discomfort or exposure intensity.

3. Cold Exposure and Thermoregulation

Cold exposure activates physiological mechanisms that help maintain thermal balance. Cutaneous vasoconstriction reduces peripheral heat loss, whereas shivering increases metabolic heat production through involuntary muscle contractions. These responses vary according to environmental conditions and individual characteristics [3].

Repeated cold exposure may produce different patterns of acclimatization, including habituation, metabolic adjustment, and insulative adjustment. These responses are not interchangeable, and their development depends on exposure duration and changes in skin and core temperatures [3].

Within BAM™, cold exposure is considered a potential context for observing bodily responses and practicing appropriate behavioral decisions. Subjective familiarity with cold must not be interpreted as physiological protection. Severe exposure may impair physical performance and lead to cold-related injury or hypothermia, particularly when combined with fatigue [3].

Accordingly, any cold-related component should be individualized, supervised when appropriate, and discontinued when safety cannot be maintained. BAM™ does not require cold-water immersion or exposure to potentially dangerous temperatures.

4. Barefoot Locomotion and Sensory-Motor Interaction

The human foot provides a biomechanical and sensory interface between the body and the ground. Barefoot locomotion changes aspects of foot-ground interaction and movement mechanics compared with shod locomotion. However, documented differences do not establish that barefoot movement is universally superior or appropriate for every individual [2-4].

Contact with different surfaces changes the mechanical and sensory conditions under which movement occurs. Within BAM™, this interaction is proposed as a context for exploring body awareness and movement control. The potential value of this experience should not be confused with demonstrated improvements in general cognitive function or psychological well-being [2-4].

Transitioning toward barefoot locomotion or minimalist footwear requires attention to training history, tissue loading, and environmental conditions. Existing literature does not establish a universally applicable transition protocol, supporting the need for cautious, individualized progression rather than abrupt changes in exposure [5]. Barefoot participation is therefore an optional component of BAM™, not a prerequisite. Footwear selection should remain compatible with terrain, environmental hazards, individual needs, and safety.

5. Fatigue and Effort Regulation

Fatigue and environmental stress may interact during physically demanding activities. In cold conditions, exercise and thermoregulation impose concurrent physiological de-

mands, and reductions in body or muscle temperature may impair aspects of physical performance. Exhaustive exercise may also contribute to thermoregulatory fatigue [3].

BAM™ proposes using appropriately scaled physical challenges as opportunities to practice effort regulation. Participants are encouraged to recognize perceived exertion, adjust pace, and identify when recovery or activity termination is necessary. This approach treats fatigue as information relevant to decision-making rather than as a sensation that must be overcome regardless of consequences.

A further hypothesis is that practicing effort regulation in structured outdoor settings may help individuals develop behavioral strategies applicable elsewhere. Such transfer cannot be inferred from the physiological literature on exercise and cold exposure and should be evaluated as a separate outcome.

6. Pain and Body Awareness

Pain is a complex sensory and emotional experience influenced by biological, psychological, and social factors. It cannot be reduced to a direct measurement of tissue damage, and its interpretation requires attention to the individual's circumstances and the nature of the experience [6].

BAM™ distinguishes awareness of bodily sensations from the deliberate pursuit or suppression of pain. Its proposed approach is to help participants recognize differences between exertion-related sensations and symptoms that may require reduced loading, activity cessation, or clinical assessment. New, severe, or persistent pain must not be treated as a desirable training stimulus.

7. From Stressor Management to Psychophysical Self-Regulation

Outdoor activities may require participants to manage several demands simultaneously. During a mountain route, for example, individuals must adjust movement to terrain, regulate effort, and respond to changing environmental conditions. Cold and exhaustive exercise may interact in ways that increase physiological strain or impair performance [3].

BAM™ aims to structure these experiences so that participants can observe their responses, evaluate changing demands, and select appropriate actions. Calmness, body awareness, and self-regulation are proposed targets of the method, not established outcomes of its implementation.

The possibility that skills practiced in these settings may transfer to everyday challenges is a research hypothesis. Future studies must distinguish any effects attributable to physical activity, exposure to natural environments, participant expectations, and the specific integration proposed by BAM™.

2. The Integrated BAM™ Framework

BAM™ combines selected components of natural movement and environmental exposure with an individualized

progression. Cold, fatigue, barefoot locomotion, and sensory-motor challenges are treated as distinct stimuli rather than interchangeable methods of producing adaptation. Their physiological effects, potential benefits, and risks must be assessed separately [2-4].

The proposed framework begins with an assessment of individual characteristics, physical preparation, relevant health considerations, and environmental conditions. Activities are then selected and progressed according to observable responses and adequate recovery. A participant's suitability for one component does not establish suitability for all components. For research purposes, BAM™ will require operational definitions specifying the content, intensity, frequency, and duration of each activity, together with eligibility criteria, safety procedures, and stopping rules.

3. Research Agenda

The primary research question is whether a standardized BAM™ program produces outcomes that differ from those of conventional physical activity performed at a comparable overall training load. This comparison is necessary to determine whether any observed effects can be attributed to the integrated approach rather than exercise alone.

A preliminary feasibility study could examine participant recruitment, adherence, acceptability, adverse events, and the practicality of delivering a reproducible protocol. Subsequent controlled studies could evaluate cardiorespiratory fitness, balance, movement performance, perceived exertion, and selected thermoregulatory responses.

Psychophysical self-regulation and well-being should be investigated using predefined outcomes and validated assessment instruments. Study designs should also account for participant expectations, prior outdoor experience, and differences in baseline fitness. These investigations would establish whether the proposed integration has measurable effects and whether any such effects extend beyond the individual components.

4. Conclusion

The Barefoot Alpine Method (BAM™) introduces an integrated framework combining natural movement, progressive exposure to environmental stressors, and conscious regulation of physical demands. Its theoretical foundation draws on established physiological mechanisms associated with thermoregulation, exercise, and sensory-motor interaction, while recog-

nizing that the effects of their combined application have not yet been established.

The proposed framework emphasizes individualized progression, monitoring of physiological and perceptual responses, and appropriate safety measures. Within this context, environmental challenges are considered potential opportunities to practice effort regulation and body awareness rather than stimuli whose intensity should be maximized.

The central hypothesis is that structured interaction with selected natural stressors may support the development of adaptive and self-regulatory capacities. Whether these experiences produce measurable benefits for psychophysical well-being, or effects beyond those of conventional physical activity, remains an open empirical question.

Future research should establish standardized protocols and evaluate feasibility, safety, and effectiveness through controlled studies using validated physiological and psychological outcome measures.

References

1. Castellani, J. W., & Young, A. J. (2016). Human physiological responses to cold exposure: Acute responses and acclimatization to prolonged exposure. *Auton Neurosci*, 196, 63-74.
2. Hollander, K., Heidt, C., Van der Zwaard, B. C., Braumann, K. M., & Zech, A. (2017). Long-term effects of habitual barefoot running and walking: a systematic review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 49(4), 752-762.
3. Castellani, J. W., & Tipton, M. J. (2016). Cold stress effects on exposure tolerance and exercise performance. *Compr Physiol*, 6(1), 443-469.
4. Hall, J. P., Barton, C., Jones, P. R., & Morrissey, D. (2013). The biomechanical differences between barefoot and shod distance running: a systematic review and preliminary meta-analysis. *Sports Med*, 43(12), 1335-1353.
5. Warne, J. P., & Gruber, A. H. (2017). Transitioning to minimal footwear: a systematic review of methods and future clinical recommendations. *Sports Med Open*, 3(1), 33.
6. Raja, S. N., Carr, D. B., Cohen, M., Finnerup, N. B., Flor, H., Gibson, S., ... & Vader, K. (2020). The revised IASP definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain*, 161(9), 1976.